# 4. Quantum Phase Estimation

Isaac H. Kim (UC Davis)

## **Quantum Phase Estimation**

UIN>= exen In>

- Quantum Phase Estimation (QPE) is one of the most widely used subroutines in quantum algorithms.
- Setup: We have a unitary  $\underbrace{U}_{\sim}$  and its eigenstate  $|n\rangle$ , with an eigenvalue  $e^{i\theta_n}$ .
- QPE is an algorithm to measure  $\theta_n$ .
- QPE serves two purposes in Quantum Simulation.
  - Estimating ground state energy.
  - Ground state preparation

## How QPE works

- There are two ingredients.
  - 1. Phase kick-back
  - 2. Quantum Fourier Transform

#### Phase kickback

• Simplest case: Suppose we have an ability to compute a function  $f(x) \in \{0,1\}$ . We wish to implement a unitary transformation:

$$|x\rangle \rightarrow (-1)^{f(x)}|x\rangle.$$

• How would we do this?

$$\sum_{x} dx |x\rangle \xrightarrow{(i)+(i)} \longrightarrow \sum_{x} dx (-1)^{t(x)} |x\rangle$$

#### Phase kickback

 More general case: Suppose we have an ability to implement a unitary U. We wish to implement





#### Phase kickback, in superposition

• Instead of beginning with  $|x\rangle$ , suppose we begin in the  $\sum_{n=1}^{2^n-1} \frac{1}{2^{n/2}} |x\rangle$ .

After applying the phase kickback operation, we get a "momentum eigenstate."



#### **Quantum Fourier Transform**

• Quantum Fourier Transform can be performed using  $O(n^2)$  one- and two-qubit gates.



## Quantum Phase Estimation in a Nutshell

- In the infinite-precision limit, QPE performs a non-destructive measurement in the eigenbasis of U. Inverse
- Procedure: Phase kickback in uniform superposition -> QFT.
- Often the cost of QFT is subleading compared to the implementation of U.
- Often the cost is  $2^n$  times the cost. Precision  $\approx 1/2^n$ .  $\downarrow_{n} \geq e^{\lambda 0x} \mid_{x} \sim \underbrace{\sqrt{2^n}}_{k=0} \downarrow_{x=0}^{2^n-1} \underbrace{\sqrt{2^n}}_{k=0} \downarrow_{x=0}^{2^n-1} \underbrace{\sqrt{2^n}}_{k=0} \mid_{x} e^{-\frac{2\pi k}{2^n}} \mid_{k} = \underbrace{1}_{2^n} \underbrace{2^{n-1}}_{k=0} \underbrace{2^{n-1}}_{x=0} \underbrace{\sqrt{2^n}}_{k=0} \mid_{k} e^{-\frac{2\pi k}{2^n}} \mid_{k} e^{-\frac{2\pi k}{2^n}} \mid_{k} e^{-\frac{2\pi k}{2^n}} \mid_{k} e^{-\frac{2\pi k}{2^n}} e^{-\frac{2\pi k}{2^n}} \mid_{k} e^{-\frac{2\pi k}{2^n}} e^{-\frac$

### Controlled-U

• The cost of implementing controlled-U is comparable to the cost of implementing U.



# **Applications**

#### $|n\rangle$

- We already established that  $e^{-iHt}$  can be implemented efficiently.
- Thus, controlled- $e^{-iHt}$  can be also implemented efficiently.
- Therefore, we can compute the energy of H in time  $O(1/\epsilon)$ , where  $\epsilon$  is the precision.
  - This is better than the naive approach of  $O(1/\epsilon^2)$ .
  - This can lead to a huge difference in quantum chemistry applications.
  - . And, there are added benefits. (Next slide)

#### **Eigenstate assumption**

- We assumed that we have access to an eigenstate.
- But didn't we already say that preparing an eigenstate (e.g., ground state) is hard in general?
- More realistically, the initial state will be generally of the following form:  $|\psi\rangle = \sqrt{1 - p_n} |n\rangle + \sqrt{p_n} |n_\perp\rangle.$

 $|\psi\rangle = d |0\rangle + \beta |1\rangle + \partial |2\rangle \qquad e^{a \circ e e e \circ e^{a \circ e^{a \circ e^{a \circ e^{a \circ e^{a$  $e^{\lambda\theta_{0}}e^{\lambda\theta_{1}}e^{\lambda\theta_{1}}$ 

Suppose we begin with the following state: U=e

$$|\psi\rangle = \sum \alpha_n |n\rangle.$$

- If we apply QPE (in the infinite precision limit), we will measure  $|n\rangle$  with probability  $|\alpha_n|^{\perp}$ .
- Repeating this many times, you can get a histogram of eigenstates, each labeled by different phases. E, E, E, E, E
- To prepare an eigenstate corresponding to the phase  $e^{i\theta_n}$ , repeat QPE until you measure  $\theta_n$ . If you succeed, halt.

# But we rarely even know $\theta_n \dots$

- Sometimes we do, e.g., models for which we know the ground state is exactly zero. In that case, we simply repeat measuring  $\theta_n$  until we get  $\theta_n = 0$ .
- More generally, we don't know the exact ground state energy. In that case, we simply repeat the measurement many times and pick the smallest  $\theta_n$ . This will be our "guess" for the ground state energy.
- If the overlap with the true ground state is  $\alpha$ , the probability we fail to get the correct ground state energy after *m* repetition is  $(1 |\alpha|^2)^m$ .

$$d = t_{s}$$

# **Application: Ground state preparation**

- 1. Repeat QPE many times to get a good guess on the ground state energy.
- 2. Repeat QPE many times until you measure your guess of the ground state energy.
- 3. Done.

 Obviously, this works well only if we can create some state with nonzero overlap with the ground state.

Summary  

$$V(N_{1} N) = 0$$

$$\int_{P_{r} \lfloor X_{1} = +1 \rfloor = \frac{1}{2}}^{P_{r} \lfloor X_{1} = +1 \rfloor = \frac{1}{2}} N_{t} = N$$

$$\int_{R_{t} = -NL} S = N_{t} + N_{t} = N$$

$$\int_{R_{t} = -NL} S = N_{t} = N$$

$$\int_{R_{t} = -NL} S = N_{t} = N$$

- QPE is very useful for
  - energy estimation.
  - ground state preparation
- QPE cost  $\approx 1/\epsilon$  times Hamiltonian simulation cost for a unit time.

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^{N} d_i P_i$$

$$H' = \frac{\sum_{\lambda=1}^{N} d_{\lambda} P_{\lambda} + \sum_{\lambda=1}^{N} |d_{\lambda}| I}{\sum_{\lambda=1}^{N} |d_{\lambda}|}$$